International Journal of Bio-pharmacology, Biotechnology and Allied Sciences

IJBBAS, July, 2020, 1(4): 435-444 Review Article www.ijbbas.in

CELLULASE PRODUCTION THROUGH MUTATION AND CO-CULTURE: A REVIEW

[1]Vershney B, [2]Kumar A.

[1,2]Department of Biotechnology, Azad Institute of Engineering &Technology, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh.

***Corresponding Author: Bhoomika Vershney**

Email ID:bhoomivarshney0522@gmail.com

Available online at: www.ijbbas.in.

Received 20th may. 2020; Revised 15th June. 2020; Accepted 12th July. 2020; Available online: July. 2020

ABSTRACT

Cellulose is a plentiful renewable biopolymer on earth and it is most prevalent in agriculture Waste. This cellulose - based biomass is a rich in resources and sustainable with great potential for bioconversion into bio products with value added. This can be reduced by developing cellulase The bacteria are cellulolytic. This enzyme has different applications in industry, and now considered a big enzyme community in industry. The analysis deals with the implementation of Cellulase, cellulase quantification The screening and bacteria. It describes current knowledge of the production of cellulase through mutation.

Key words: enzyme, cellulose, fermentation, strain improvement, mutation

INTRODUCTION:

Every year approximately 200 gigatons of CO2 are earth-fixed and the corresponding volume is Organic content must be depleted by plants and animals to around 30 percent by 70 percent Microbodies **[1].** On average, cellulose accounts for 50 per cent of plant biomass's dry weight. Such plant biomass is the only viable source of fuels foreseeable and Materials which are available to humanity. Agricultural residues are a great source of lignocellulosic biomass which is renewable, chiefly unexploited and these renewable resources are leaves, stems, and stalks from sources such as corn fiber, corn stover, sugarcane bagasse, rice straw, rice hulls, woody crops, and forest residues. Besides, there are multiple sources of lignocellulosic waste from industrial and agricultural processes, e.g., citrus peel waste, coconut biomass, sawdust, paper pulp, industrial waste, municipal cellulosic solid waste, and paper mill sludge. In addition, dedicated energy crops for biofuels could include perennial grasses such as Switch grass and other forage feed stocks such as *Miscanthus*, Elephant grass, Bermuda grass, etc **[2].** About 70 per cent of organic matter is locked into 5- and 6-carbon sugars. These sugars are contained in lignocellulosic biomass consisting mainly of cellulose (a homologous glucose polymer connected by β 1.4 glycosidic bonds) hydrolyzed by a complicated enzyme system known as cellulase lesser Hemicellulose in hardwoods primarily includes xylans, while in softwood there are mostly glucomannans**.** The combustion of fossil fuels has also generated concern for unreliable and unpredictable sources of energy, rising fuel prices and concern about global climate change. Such issues have changed to using renewable resources to create a 'greener' substitute of energy that can satisfy the world 's rising demand for electricity. The Canadian renewable fuel requirement has been adjusted and will include 5% ethanol by 2010; by 2009, the US Environmental Protection Agency has increased the renewable fuel level to 10.21% ethanol blended fuel; while Brazil 's goal to blend ethanol in fuel is 25% (set in 2007). Cellulases contribute to 8 per cent of the demands of the global industrial enzyme**[3].**

The demand for cellulase is expected to grow significantly if cellulases are used to hydrolyze pretreated cellulosic content into sugars, which can be fermented on large scales to bioethanol and biobased items. In the United States, the demand for cellulase has been reported to be as high as US$ 400million per year **[4].** An increase of about 100 per cent in the use of cellulase as a specialty enzyme is anticipated in the period 2004-2017 **[5].** Biotech companies Genencor International and Novozymes Biotech noted technology development that reduced the cellulase expense of the cellulose-to-ethanol procedure from US$ 5.40 per gallon of ethanol to about 20 cents per gallon of ethanol**[6],** where the two main processes were (1) an economic growth in cellulase production to reduce US$ per gram of ethanol. (2) an increase in the efficiency of the cellulase enzyme to the grams of enzyme to achieve equal cocktail hydrolysis and boost the components **[7].** In comparison , the main main applications of cellulases is in the textile industry for the bio-polishing of fabrics and the development of stonewashed appearance of denims, as well as in household cleaning products to enhance softness and brightness of fabrics **[8].** They are also used in animal feeds to improve nutrient content and digestibility, fruit juice processing and baking, though paper de-increasing is another emerging application. The bioconversion of sustainable cellulosic biomass into commodity chemicals is a potential challenging field where cellulases will have a central function **[9].**

RDT for cellulase gene in host:

Pasternak and Glick have studied the cloning and expressed cellulase genes in bacterial hosts **[11].** Forsberg et al**. [12]** examined the characterization and cloning of bacterial cellulases, particularly from the anaerobic rumen F. Successoires. The most significant of these are Strategies for cloning cellulase genes from eukaryotic fungal hosts cannot depend on direct expression in a prokaryotic cell the discrepancies in the translation process between the two groups; (ii) because the eukaryotic genomes are far larger than those of prokaryotes, a genomic clone bank from a eukaryotic cell needs to be constructed with a piece of DNA that are long as 40kb.

The recombinant cellulolytic technique for cellulose conversion through non-cellulolytic microorganisms involves a functional cellulase system's heterologous expression. Such heterologous expression was employed with a variety of microorganisms for a variety of purposes **[12].**

Mutation through physical and chemical mutagen

Strain Improvement A. Terreus D34 by physical mutation accompanied by chemical mutation (UV irradiation)(EMS) developed possible mutant strains able to Secreting high levels of enhanced cellulase proteins Activities about enzymes. After UV irradiation A. terreus mutants (UV1 and UV2) increased cellulase activity and the development of extracellular proteins. Maximum performance though Of the development of cellulase Observed In Mutant EMS1. Enzymatic Mild-alkali hydrolysis Pretreated 10% solid rice straw, loaded using EMS2 Mutant demonstrated optimum efficiency of the saccharification 95 ± 0.2% − 9 FPU g−1 Of enzyme produced from BGgrown crop extract with 10% solids loaded after 48 h Incubating. Though the efficiencies of saccharification They were almost close due to the high activity of cellulase The amount of enzyme needed from mutants was almost Three times smaller than the wild-type. And the overall Enzyme production costs can be greatly reduced by using the EMS mutant strains in the production of fermentable lignocellulosic biomass residue reduction sugars **[13].**

Co-culture:

Bacterial co-cultures are necessary in order to improve cellulose hydrolysis and to increase the efficiency of the product in order to produce more suitable fermentation products. *Clostridium thermocellum* has benefit because for co-culture with species capable of fermenting pentose sugars to ethanol. Only hexose sugars can be fermented by *C. thermocellum*.

Then, *C. Thermocellum* has been co-cultivated with other anaerobic thermophilic clostridia such as *Clostridium thermosacccharolyicum* **[14]**, *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum* **[15]**, *Thermoanaerobacter ethanolicus* **[16]** and *Thermoanaerobacter brockii* **[17**]. Co-culture 's benefit is improved development, but the difficulty is that of producing by-products such as acetate and lactate that reduce the production of ethanol by exhibiting cell growth rate**[18].** Bacterial co-cultures are a difficult task to establish. Media and development conditions, such as temperature, atmosphere and carbon source need to be coordinated to allow equal growth of each strain) are important for co-culture establishment. Stable co-cultures may also be more precisely regulated by metabolic interactions (i.e. syntrophic relationships or alternatively substrates competition) and other associations (i.e. growth-promoting or growth-inhibiting, such as antibiotics)[109]. The alternative of bacterial co-culture will be the genetically modified microorganism which has the potential to complete the entire process from beginning to end itself. That would mean C had been engineered metabolically. Thermocellum strain extract pentose and hexose sugars, but because of the recalcitrance of clostridia to genetic modification, this is a daunting job as far as molecular engineering goes in closetridia. Therefore, co-cultivation has a benefit because it can minimize the amount of exogenous elements released by a single bacterial population and thereby reduce the risk for host cells to have metabolic imbalances **[18].**

Biotechnology of cellulase: A New era

The use of lignocellulosic materials for ethanol or other chemical feedstock processing is one of the most challenging tasks encountered in biotechnology history. One of the essential aspects of microbial biotechnology is the study of microbial cellulose utilization by quantifying enzymes in organisms, purifying, characterizing and applying these enzyme. The quantitative overview of cellulose hydrolysis is discussed with respect to the adsorption of cellulase enzymes, enzymatic hydrolysis levels, bioenergetics of the use of microbial cellulose and contrasting features compared to soluble kinetics of the substrate. A biological viewpoint is given on the processing of cellulosic biomass including characteristics of pretreated substrates and alternative process configurations. The growth of species is called for "Consolidated Bioprocessing" (CBP), in which the synthesis of cellulolytic enzymes, biomass hydrolysis and fermentation of the resulting sugars to the desired products takes place in one step. Two CBP strategies for organism development are examined: (I) Increase product production and tolerance in microbes capable of using cellulose, or (II) express a heterologous method for cellulose hydrolysis and use in microorganisms with high yield and tolerance of products **[19].**

CONCLUSION:

Microorganism processing of cellulosic biomass is a possible sustainable solution for the production of novel bioprocesses and products. Microbial cellulases are now produced commercially by several factories worldwide, and are widely used in fruit, feed, fuel, paper industry , textile industry and various chemical factories as well. Cellulase work has focused primarily on fungi but bacteria are increasingly involved in the development of cellulase due to their higher growth rate and stable thermo and alkaline properties. Developing rapid and effective methods for screening cellulases from microorganisms in hospitable environments would allow for the isolation of a greater number of novel bacterial cellulases for industrial use.

The current knowledge of these enzymes and of the producer bacteria is considerable in the processing, purification, characterization, biochemistry , molecular biology. However, these novel enzymes can be further designed by rational design using available knowledge of enzyme structure and function. Or, they can be enhanced using techniques of random mutagenesis with a emphasis on selecting preferably modified traits by direct evolution. Additionally, enhancing the activities of bacterial cellulase or imparting desired enzyme characters through protein engineering can be another field of cellulase study. Given the progress made for bacterial cellulases so far, cellulases and bacteria do need more effort to have significant industrial effect.

References:

[1]. Aubert, J. P., Beguin, P., & Millet, J. (1988). Biochemistry and genetics of cellulose degradation.

[2]. Greene, N. (2004). Growing energy. How biofuels can help end America's oil dependence. *Growing energy. How biofuels can help end America's oil dependence.*

[3]. Längin, D. W., & Ackerman, P. A. (2007). Transforming Forestry Education: challenges and opportunities-South African Perspective. *New Perspectives In Forestry Education*.

[4]. Zhang, Y. H. P., Himmel, M. E., & Mielenz, J. R. (2006). Outlook for cellulase improvement: screening and selection strategies. *Biotechnology advances*, *24*(5), 452-481.

[5]. Costa, R. B., Silva, M. V. A., Freitas, F. C., Leitao, V. S. F., Lacerda, P. S. B., Ferrara, M. A., & Bon, E. P. S. (2008). Mercado e perspectivas de uso de enzimas industriais e especiais no Brasil. *Enzimas em Biotecnologia, Producao, Aplicac oes e Mercados, 1st edn. Rio de Janeiro, Intercie^ ncia*, 463-488.

[6]. Moreira, N. (2005). Growing expectations: new technology could turn fuel into a bumper crop. *Science news*, *168*(14), 218-220.

[7]. Knauf, M., & Moniruzzaman, M. (2004). Lignocellulosic biomass processing: a perspective. *International sugar journal*, *106*(1263), 147-150.

. [8]. Hill, J., Nelson, E., Tilman, D., Polasky, S., & Tiffany, D. (2006). Environmental, economic, and energetic costs and benefits of biodiesel and ethanol biofuels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of sciences*, *103*(30), 11206-11210.

[9]. Lynd, L. R., Van Zyl, W. H., McBride, J. E., & Laser, M. (2005). Consolidated bioprocessing of cellulosic biomass: an update. *Current opinion in biotechnology*, *16*(5), 577-583.

[10]. Mandels, M. (1985). Applications of cellulases**.**

[11]. Wood, T. M. (1989). Mechanisms of cellulose degradation by enzymes from aerobic and anaerobic fungi. *Enzyme Systems for Lignocellulose Degradation*, 17-35.

[12]. Ayers, W. A. (1959). Phosphorolysis and synthesis of cellobiose by cell extracts from Ruminococcus flavefaciens. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *234*(11), 2819-2822.

[13]. Kumar, A. K., Parikh, B. S., Singh, S. P., & Shah, D. (2015). Use of combined UV and chemical mutagenesis treatment of Aspergillus terreus D34 for hyper-production of cellulose-degrading enzymes and enzymatic hydrolysis of mild-alkali pretreated rice straw. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, *2*(1), 35.

[14]. VENKATESWARAN, S., & DEMAIN, A. L. (1986). The Clostridium thermocellum-Clostridium thermosaccharolyticum ethanol production process: nutritional studies and scale-down. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, *45*(1-6), 53-60.

[15]. Saddler, J. N., & Chan, M. H. (1984). Conversion of pretreated lignocellulosic substrates to ethanol by Clostridium thermocellum in mono-and co-culture with Clostridium thermosaccharolyticum and Clostridium thermohydrosulphuricum. *Canadian journal of microbiology*, *30*(2), 212-220.

[16]. Wiegel, J., & Ljungdahl, L. G. (1979). Ethanol as fermentation product of extreme thermophilic, anaerobic bacteria. *In Klassische Prozesse mit Neun Aussichten. Berlin, germany: Verlag Versuchs und Lehranstalt fuer Spiritusfabrikation and Fermentation Technologie im insituut fuer Gaerungsgewerbe und Biotechnologie*, 117-127.

[17]. Lamed, R., & Zeikus, J. G. (1980). Ethanol production by thermophilic bacteria: relationship between fermentation product yields of and catabolic enzyme activities in Clostridium thermocellum and Thermoanaerobium brockii. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *144*(2), 569-578.

[18]. Herrero, A. A., Gomez, R. F., & Roberts, M. F. (1985). 31P NMR studies of Clostridium thermocellum. Mechanism of end product inhibition by ethanol. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *260*(12), 7442-7451.

[19]. Kato, S., Haruta, S., Cui, Z. J., Ishii, M., & Igarashi, Y. (2008). Network relationships of bacteria in a stable mixed culture. *Microbial ecology*, *56*(3), 403-411.